

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/633,782	08/07/2000	Gun-Hee Lee	3430-0129P	3862	
75	90 03/16/2006		EXAMINER		
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP			NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, HOAN C	
P. O. Box 747 Falls Church. V	/A 22040-0747		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			2871		
			DATE MAILED: 03/16/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/633,782	LEE ET AL.	P			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	HOAN C. NGUYEN	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence a	ddress			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b on. leriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to statute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this of DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	29 December 2005.					
·	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for all						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	·					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,12-16 and 19-26</u> is/are pendi	ing in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8, 12-16 and 19-26</u> are subject	to restriction and/or election requi	rement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by tr	ne Examiner. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form P	10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	∂(a)-(d) or (f).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	. 🗖					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 	4) Interview Summ 8) Paper No(s)/Ma					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nal Patent Application (PT	TO-152)			

)

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on 12/29/2005, applicant added new claims 21-26. Due to the new added claims, the following restriction is required since there are different species clearly addressing in these claims.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

A. Claims 3 and 13 drawn to a liquid crystal device comprising a second light absorbing film 38a formed under the source electrode 38b and a third light absorbing film formed under the drain electrode as shown in Fig. 5 (if the light absorbing films are made of oxidation film or nitride film or back resin, which is insulating material, the device can not operate since the insulating material layer formed between the source electrode 28a/the drain electrode 28b and ohmic contact layer 26; therefore, TFT can not function).

B. Claims 4 and 14 drawn to a liquid crystal device comprising a second light absorbing film 38 formed under the semiconductor or active layer as shown in Fig. 6, (however, nowhere in specification discloses the second light absorbing film 38 made of oxidation film or nitride film or back resin).

Application/Control Number: 09/633,782

Art Unit: 2871

>

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims1-2, 5-8, 12, 15-26 are generic claims.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and <u>a listing of all claims</u> and any drawings readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/633,782

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HOAN C. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-2296. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY:8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim H. Robert can be reached on (571) 272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HOAN C. NGUYEN Examiner Art Unit 2871

chn

ANDREW SCHECHTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER